## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 11CR38 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Plaintiff, VS. JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

ESTHELA PAREDES-CARDENAS (2),

Defendant.

| for      | IT APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged the reason that:   |
|----------|---|
|          | an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and<br>the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of<br>this case, without prejudice; or |
|          | the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or  |
| <u>X</u> | the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal, without prejudice; or   |
|          | the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or   |
|          | a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or  |
|          | the jury has returned its verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;  |
| Х        | of the offense(s) as charged in the Indictment:   |
|          | 21:952 and 960; 18:2  |

IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby discharged.

DATED: OCTOBER 20, 2011

WILLIAM V. GALLO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE